

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/057,145	FEINBERG, STEWART CARL	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jeffrey C. Mullis	1711	

All Participants:

(1) Jeffrey C. Mullis.

(2) Robert Stevenson.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 19 February 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 103 over Wang '877

Claims discussed:

4

Prior art documents discussed:

Wang '877

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Jeffrey C. Mullis
 Primary Examiner
 Art Unit 1711

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner contacted applicants' attorney to make claim 4 dependent on claim 1 as in the Examiner's amendment since it appeared to the examiner that the rejection of claim 4 over the prior art was not otherwise addressed. With regard to applicants condition of melt index recited by the claims, ASTM D1238, it was agreed that it was clear that "condition E" was intended when read in light of applicants specification (page 10, line 10). .